



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
08/876,437	06/16/1997	MARIANTHI GIAKOUMAKIS		5017	
7:	590 05/20/2003				
DOUGLAS R HANSCOM			EXAMINER		
P O BOX 2266	AR & COOPER EADS STATION		CAMPEN, KELLY SCAGGS		
ARLINGTON,	VA 22202		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3624		
			DATE MAILED: 05/20/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·······	Application	No.	Applicant(s)	/			
		110.		A DIANTIN			
Office Action Summary	08/876,437		GIAKOUMAKIS, M	ARIANTHI			
omec Action cummary	Examiner		Art Unit				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	Kelly Campe	47707	3624 correspondence add	dress			
Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, y within the statutor vill apply and will e , cause the applica	, however, may a reply be tin ry minimum of thirty (30) day xpire SIX (6) MONTHS from tition to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely the mailing date of this co D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	mmunication.			
1)☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>28 F</u>	ebruary 200:	3 .					
<u> </u>	is action is no						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa			osecution as to the	e merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims	Ex parte Qua	ayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	\$53 O.G. 213.				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are pending in the application							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray	wn from cons	ideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election req	uirement.					
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine		biastad to by the Ever	minor	-			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accept							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority unde	er 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	ı)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	, ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		, ()				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been i	received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents			on No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior				Stage			
application from the International Bu * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Ri	ule 17.2(a)).					
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	c priority und	er 35 U.S.C. § 119(e	e) (to a provisional	application).			
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesting 	• •						
Attachment(s)							
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 	5		/ (PTO-413) Paper No(Patent Application (PTC				

Application/Control Number: 08/876,437

Art Unit: 3624

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

The request for a continued prosecution application (CPA) under 37 CFR 1.53(d) filed on [1] is acknowledged. 37 CFR 1.53(d)(1) was amended to provide that the prior application of a CPA must be: (1) a utility or plant application that was filed under 35 U.S.C. 111(a) before May 29, 2000, (2) a design application, or (3) the national stage of an international application that was filed under 35 U.S.C. 363 before May 29, 2000. See Changes to Application Examination and Provisional Application Practice, interim rule, 65 Fed. Reg. 14865, 14872 (Mar. 20, 2000), 1233 Off. Gaz. Pat. Office 47, 52 (Apr. 11, 2000). Since a CPA of this application is not permitted under 37 CFR 1.53(d)(1), the improper request for a CPA is being treated as a request for continued examination of this application under 37 CFR 1.114. See id. at 14866, 1233 Off. Gaz. Pat. Office at 48.

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 12-27-02 has been entered.

35 USC § 112 and 35 USC § 101

Claims 1-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention, sustainable, non surgical breast augmentation through cocoa butter and Vitamin E is not supported by either a credible asserted utility or a well established utility.

Art Unit: 3624

Applicant's assertion of specific credible utility is not considered credible. One of ordinary skill in the art would not find applicant's assertion of utility credible because applicant has not offered any statistically significant evidence to prove such.

Claims 1-12 are also rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph. Specifically, since the claimed invention is not supported by either a credible asserted utility or a well established utility for the reasons set forth above, one skilled in the art clearly would not know how to use the claimed invention and would not find applicant's assertion of utility credible because applicant has not offered any statistically significant evidence to prove such as stated previously.

In addition, see MPEP 2107.03,

"affidavit evidence from experts in the art indicating that there is a reasonable expectation of success, supported by sound reasoning, usually should be sufficient to establish that such a utility is credible."

As such, Applicant has relied upon the Cayce reference to teach the use of cocoa butter to increase breast size. The only assertion is the closest prior art that contradict Applicant's allegation. See Cayce, page 285, line 8, "To Reduce Bust" continuing in lines 9-22 describing the use of cocoa butter massaged into the breast to reduce the size of the bust.

Therefore, the disclosed method of breast enlargement would not be accepted as obviously valid by one of ordinary skill in the art.

Examiner was unable to contact the web site for "African Medicines Formulary; Vitamins." It is requested that applicant confirm that web site is still active and open.

Art Unit: 3624

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 10-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cayce.

Cayce discloses a method of treating a breast with cocoa butter but does not disclose the use of vitamin E. Vitamin E is commonly used to treat the skin, it is readily found in any body lotions for the skin, including the breast. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use Vitamin E and cocoa butter to treat the breast as booth are well known for treating dry skin, including treating the nipple and breast when nursing.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kelly Campen whose telephone number is (703) 308-0780. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday and Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vincent Millin can be reached on (703) 308-1065. The fax phone numbers for the

Application/Control Number: 08/876,437

Art Unit: 3624

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 305-7687 for regular communications and (703) 308-5397 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113.

KSČ

May 15, 2003

VINCENT MILLIN

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

Page 5